

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,521	03/22/2004		Alvin Kaplan	07670/100M667-US1 3379	
7278	7590 06	5/26/2006		EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P. O. BOX 5257				MARSH, STEVEN M	
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3632	

DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/807,521	KAPLAN, ALVIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven M. Marsh	3632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ag</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-8 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,5, and 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) \[ \sum \] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🌅 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Application/Control Number: 10/807,521

Art Unit: 3632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the third office action for U.S. Application 10/807,521 for a Hanger filed by Alvin Kaplan on March 22, 2004. Claims 1-10 are pending.

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 7, 2006 has been entered.

# Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The second to last line of claim 2, the word "an" should be deleted and replace with - - the - -. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-3, 6, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,727,699 to Gilcrease. Gilcrease discloses an apparatus including a hanger and a fabric article (ribbons 14 and 16). There is a hanging element (18) and a shaft (12) having a top end and a bottom end, the hanging element disposed on the top

end of the shaft. There is a support element (26) disposed on the bottom end of the shaft and extending outwardly therefrom to provide a support base and the article is rolled around the shaft to form a cylinder, whereby the base supports the article in a vertical position. The support element is a ring shaped solid disk and extends radially from the shaft. There is also a header element disposed on the shaft between the hanging element and article (at 20, which supports bows).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilcrease et al. Gilcrease et al. does not specifically disclose the shape of the header element. However, forming the bow in a trapezoidal or rectangular configuration or any shape is a matter of design preference that would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 5, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,521 Page 4

Art Unit: 3632

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Marsh whose telephone number is (571) 272-6819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

 Steven M. Marsh

June 16, 2006

RAMON O. RAMIREZ PRIMARY EXAMINER